

## ISSUE SLIP STAPLE AREA (for additional cross references)

| POSITION                  | INITIALS | ID NO. | DATE     |
|---------------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| FEE DETERMINATION         | W G      |        | 9/6/00   |
| O.I.P.E. CLASSIFIER       |          | 98     | 9/12/00  |
| FORMALITY REVIEW          | TN       | SC 870 | 10 11 00 |
| RESPONSE FORMALITY REVIEW |          |        |          |
|                           |          |        |          |

## INDEX OF CLAIMS

|                         |            |   |              |
|-------------------------|------------|---|--------------|
| ✓                       | Rejected   | N | Non-elected  |
| =                       | Allowed    | I | Interference |
| - (Through numeral) ... | Canceled   | A | Appeal       |
| ÷                       | Restricted | O | Objected     |

| Claim    | Date     |
|----------|----------|
| Final    |          |
| Original |          |
| 1        | 10/25/00 |
| 2        |          |
| 3        |          |
| 4        |          |
| 5        |          |
| 6        |          |
| 7        |          |
| 8        |          |
| 9        |          |
| 10       |          |
| 11       |          |
| 12       |          |
| 13       |          |
| 14       |          |
| 15       |          |
| 16       |          |
| 17       |          |
| 18       |          |
| 19       |          |
| 20       |          |
| 21       |          |
| 22       |          |
| 23       |          |
| 24       |          |
| 25       |          |
| 26       |          |
| 27       |          |
| 28       |          |
| 29       |          |
| 30       |          |
| 31       |          |
| 32       |          |
| 33       |          |
| 34       |          |
| 35       |          |
| 36       |          |
| 37       |          |
| 38       |          |
| 39       |          |

| Claim    | Date |
|----------|------|
| Final    |      |
| Original |      |
| 51       |      |
| 52       |      |
| 53       |      |
| 54       |      |
| 55       |      |
| 56       |      |
| 57       |      |
| 58       |      |
| 59       |      |
| 60       |      |
| 61       |      |
| 62       |      |
| 63       |      |
| 64       |      |
| 65       |      |
| 66       |      |
| 67       |      |
| 68       |      |
| 69       |      |
| 70       |      |
| 71       |      |
| 72       |      |
| 73       |      |
| 74       |      |
| 75       |      |
| 76       |      |
| 77       |      |
| 78       |      |
| 79       |      |
| 80       |      |
| 81       |      |
| 82       |      |
| 83       |      |
| 84       |      |
| 85       |      |
| 86       |      |
| 87       |      |
| 88       |      |
| 89       |      |

| Claim    | Date |
|----------|------|
| Final    |      |
| Original |      |
| 101      |      |
| 102      |      |
| 103      |      |
| 104      |      |
| 105      |      |
| 106      |      |
| 107      |      |
| 108      |      |
| 109      |      |
| 110      |      |
| 111      |      |
| 112      |      |
| 113      |      |
| 114      |      |
| 115      |      |
| 116      |      |
| 117      |      |
| 118      |      |
| 119      |      |
| 120      |      |
| 121      |      |
| 122      |      |
| 123      |      |
| 124      |      |
| 125      |      |
| 126      |      |
| 127      |      |
| 128      |      |
| 129      |      |
| 130      |      |
| 131      |      |
| 132      |      |
| 133      |      |
| 134      |      |
| 135      |      |
| 136      |      |
| 137      |      |
| 138      |      |
| 139      |      |
| 140      |      |
| 141      |      |
| 142      |      |
| 143      |      |
| 144      |      |
| 145      |      |
| 146      |      |
| 147      |      |
| 148      |      |
| 149      |      |
| 150      |      |

Pete Hrysko & Betty Roey in AUL224

did not want the case, since invention

lies strictly in the control system, no details  
of chemical treatment given

JRD:dwg  
11/24/00  
actions  
are